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Methods
- A retrospective review was performed at a single institution to identify all patients during the years 2013-2018 who underwent XLIF or MI-TLIF with a minimum follow-up of 2 years.
- Revision rate, time to revision, and type of complications were recorded and analyzed.
- Functional outcomes were assessed by comparing pre- and post-operative patient reported VAS-back and ODI scores.

Results
- A total of 340 patients were included - 115 in the XLIF cohort and 225 in the MI-TLIF cohort. Mean follow-up for the XLIF and MI-TLIF groups were 46.3 and 39.2 months, respectively.
- The overall revision rates were 7.8% for the XLIF group and 8.0% for the MI-TLIF group, respectively (p= 0.929) (Table 1).
- Average time to revision (TTR) was 376.3 ± 284.3 days and 404.1 ± 240.7 days for the MI-TLIF and XLIF groups (Table 1).
- VAS scores decreased by a mean of 5.6 in the XLIF group and a mean of 2.9 in the MI-TLIF group, a significant difference (p <0.001) (Table 2).

Conclusions
- MI-TLIF and XLIF are reasonable minimally-invasive alternatives for the treatment of lumbar spinal pathology.
- There were no significant differences in overall revision rates or complications after long-term follow-up; however, XLIF demonstrated superior improvement in VAS scores.
- Both procedures offer advantages of decreased hospital length of stay, decreased blood loss and quicker return to work compared to traditional open techniques.
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